

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,990	07/07/2003	Kenji Ilda	010194A	1625 .
23850 7590 08/09/2007 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		EXAMINER		
			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/612,990	IIDA, KENJI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Jeremy C. Norris	2841				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•					
	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be still apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2007</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicat	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/789,771. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(c)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/612,990

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 May 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,274,821 B1 (Echigo).

Echigo discloses, referring primarily to figure 1, a multilayer circuit board, comprising; a plurality of cable layers (L1r, L2r), each of which includes electric conductive sections (6); a plurality of first insulating layers (L1r, L2r), each of which encloses said electric conductive sections in each cable layer and fills spaces between said electric conductive sections, each of said electric conductive sections of each of

Art Unit: 2841

said cable layers including a cable pattern (6) and a land pad (11); post vias (7) electrically connecting said electric conductive sections in one cable layer to those in another cable layer, wherein height of said electric conductive sections in each cable layer are equal to that of said first insulating layer enclosing said electric conductive sections; and a second insulating layer (L1i), which is formed to enclosed said post vias, wherein height of said post vias equal to that of said second insulating layer and each said first insulating layer and each said second insulating layer are made up of a film (linear long chain alkylene or arlylene part in a main skeleton) covered with an insulating material (epoxy resin; col. 4, lines 45-55) [claim 1].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 27 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. First, Applicant alleges "Echigo does not however describe a method for forming the layers and does not disclose in the text that the heights of electric conductive sections are equal to the height of a first insulating layer enclosing them, and that the heights of post vias are equal to the height of a second insulating layer enclosing them". However, Applicant has claimed a product and not a method of forming said product. It has been held "even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966

(Fed. Cir. 1985). Thus Applicants arguments regarding the method of production of the device are moot since the patentability of the claim is based on the claimed structure. Additionally, Applicant insinuates that Echigo does not disclose that the first and second insulating layers are made up of a film covered with an insulating material. However, Echigo discloses that the layers may comprise an alkylene skeleton embedded in an epoxy resin which fits Applicant's claimed limitation of a film covered with an insulating material. Thus Applicant's traversal of the instant rejection on these grounds is deemed unsuccessful.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy C. Norris

Patent Examiner - Technology

Center 2800 Art Unit 2841

JCSN